

COMPLEX LITIGATION IN RIVERSIDE SUPERIOR COURT

(revised 7-5-15)

INTRODUCTION 3

Application 3

Purpose 3

Rules of Court 4

ISSUES COMMON TO COMPLEX LITIGATION GENERALLY 5

Applications – In General 5

Applications – Ex Parte Applications 5

Applications – For Appointment of Guardian ad Litem 5

Case Management Conference and Joint Statement 6

Civility 6

Consolidation 7

Costs of Suit 7

Discovery – Stay 8

Discovery – Meet-and-Confer Requirements 8

Discovery – Protective Orders 8

Motions – To Compel Discovery 9

Motions – Joinders 9

Orders to Show Cause – in General 9

Orders to Show Cause – Failure to Serve or Failure to Take Default 9

Pleadings – Amended Pleadings 10

Pleadings – Challenges to Pleadings and Motions to Amend Pleadings 10

Service of Summons – Timing 11

Service of Summons – by Publication 11

Service of Summons - on Corporation by delivery to Secretary of State 12

Settlement – Good Faith Settlements 14

Settlement – Motions to Vacate Judgment and Dismiss Action 15

Settlement – Minor's Compromises 15

Stipulations	15
Trials in General	16
Continuances of Trial	16
Electronic Evidence	16
Pretrial Order	16
CEQA CASES	21
Rules of Court	21
Status Conference	21
Challenges to Pleadings	21
Administrative Record	22
Briefing and Hearing Date	22
CLASS ACTIONS	24
Rules of Court	24
Case Management	24
Discovery	24
Motions for Preliminary Approval of Settlement	24
Motions for Final Approval of a Settlement, and Judgment	28
Complete or Partial Dismissal	29
CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CASES	30
Service	30
Stays of Prosecution	30
Mandatory Settlement Conferences (MSC)	31
Enforcement of Settlement	31
JUDGE PRESIDING IN DEPARTMENT 5	32
Current Office:	32
Prior Assignments:	32
Prior Professional Positions:	32
Education:	32
Contact Information:	33
STAFF IN DEPARTMENT 5	33

INTRODUCTION

(Revised 7-5-15)

Departments 5 and 10 have been designated as Riverside Superior Court's only complex litigation departments. All complex cases filed in Riverside Superior Court will be assigned to Department 5 or 10 for all purposes, including trial. This applies to all three court divisions: Western (Riverside), Mid-County (Murrieta), and Eastern (Palm Springs).

Application

For this purpose, "complex" cases are deemed to be:

- All construction defect cases
- All class action cases
- All cases brought under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
- All JCCP coordinated cases
- All PAGA claims
- All cases otherwise determined by the Court to be complex
- All cases designated as provisionally complex by either a plaintiff or a defendant.

This applies to complex cases filed hereafter and to all pending complex cases. Exceptions may be allowed for existing cases if the judge to whom a case had been previously assigned has invested so much time in the case that the judge believes that judicial economy would best be served by retaining that case.

If any party believes that a particular case is not likely to be a complex case as defined in California Rules of Court, rule 3.400(a)), that issue should be raised at the first case management conference or status conference.

Purpose

In this webpage, the Court sets forth guidelines intended to assist counsel. Except to the extent that these guidelines repeat the substance of a statute, rule of court, local rule, or decisional law, nothing stated herein is intended to be binding on the parties unless and until it is incorporated into an order. Instead, this webpage describes some of the issues that the Court considers when dealing with particular types of complex litigation. It is hoped that, by advising counsel in advance of some of the issues that are of concern to the Court, counsel will better be able to answer the Court's concerns and

thereby speed the Court's management and decision-making of the case, saving the parties both time and money.

Rules of Court

The Court will expect counsel to be familiar with and to fully comply with:

- California Rules of Court, rules 3.400 through 3.403 (concerning the designation of cases as complex), and rules 3.750 and 3.751 (concerning the management of complex cases).
- Riverside Superior Court Local Rule 3160 (concerning complex cases).

ISSUES COMMON TO COMPLEX LITIGATION GENERALLY

(Revised 7-5-15)

Applications – In General

Any application depending upon the truth of some factual assertion must be supported either (1) by a declaration or other evidentiary support or (2) a stipulation to the truth of those facts. In the absence of such support, the Court is likely to deny the application on the basis of that omission alone.

Applications – Ex Parte Applications

- With few exceptions, ex parte applications must prove “the nature of the emergency and the reasons irreparable injury would be suffered by the applicant during the time necessary for a hearing on notice.” (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1175(a)(1).) That showing should include an explanation of why, even with the exercise of reasonable diligence, that emergency could not have been avoided.
- A party making an ex parte application must “[a]ttempt to determine whether the opposing party will appear to oppose the application.” (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1204(a)(2).) In the Court’s opinion, such an attempt should be made by telephone. Written notice asking the opposing party to inform the moving party of the opposing party’s intentions is unlikely to be viewed as complying with that rule.
- An ex parte application for an order shortening time for notice of a hearing on a motion is separate from the motion itself. Accordingly, a party desiring an order shortening time should first (a) reserve the earliest available hearing date for the motion and (b) file the motion, and only then bring an ex parte application for an order shortening time. The Court will generally refuse to deem the ex parte application to constitute the motion to be heard.

Applications – For Appointment of Guardian ad Litem

- The Judicial Council form for an application for appointment of a guardian ad litem asks the applicant to confirm that no guardian or conservator of the estate of the person who is the subject of the application has already been appointed for the. (See form # CIV-010, paragraph 5.c.) The application frequently leaves that paragraph blank, implying that such a guardian or conservator already exists. If that occurs, the Court is likely to deny the application on that ground that if there is already an appointed guardian or conservator, there is no demonstrated need for a separate guardian ad litem.

- Counsel frequently seek to have the parents of minor parties appointed as the guardians ad litem of those minors, even when the parents are co-plaintiffs with the minors. If that occurs, the Court is likely to deny the application on the ground that, as a claimant seeking to recover from the same defendants, the proposed guardian ad litem has a potential conflict of interest with the minor. The same potential conflicts arise when the proposed guardian ad litem is a co-defendant with the minor. The Court is likely to ask whether there are any other relatives or friends who could serve who are not co-parties and thus do not have potential conflicts of interests and, if not, to require the applicant to complete paragraph #7 of the form by explaining why the proposed guardian ad litem should be appointed despite the potential conflict of interest.
- If the application seeks the appointment of guardian ad litem for an allegedly incompetent adult, the applicant should set an evidentiary hearing at which the Court can confirm that the proposed ward is truly incompetent, i.e., lacks “the capacity to understand the nature or consequences of the proceeding and to assist counsel in preparing the case.”. (Cf. *In re James F.* (2008) 42 Cal.4th 901, 910.)

Case Management Conference and Joint Statement

Prior to the initial case management conference, the parties shall meet and confer concerning the issues specified in California Rules of Court, rule 3.750(b). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.750(d); RSC Local Rule 3160.)

Thereafter, the parties shall prepare a joint statement of (a) matters agreed upon, (b) matters upon which the court must rule at the conference, and (c) a description of the major legal and factual issues involved in the litigation. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.750(d); RSC Local Rule 3160.) The joint statement shall be in lieu of a case management statement on Judicial Council form CM-110.

The joint statement shall be filed no later than five calendar days before the case management conference. (RSC Local Rule 3160.)

Civility

The Court sees far too many disputes that could have been avoided had the parties exercised a greater degree of professionalism. Counsel appearing in this department should strive to comply with the “California Attorney Guidelines of Civility and Professionalism,” adopted by the State Bar in 2007, and the “Guidelines of Professional Courtesy and Civility,” adopted by the Riverside County Bar and the Riverside Superior Court.

Consolidation

- The Court expects counsel to fully comply with California Rules of Court, rule 3.350, concerning consolidation
- Any application to consolidate cases, whether presented by stipulation or by motion, should:
 - Clearly identify the common issues of fact or law that justify consolidation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1048; and
 - Address the extent to which the cases: (i) involve the same property, contract, or event; (ii) name the same plaintiffs, defendants, or other parties; and (iii) allege the same causes of action.
- Any motion for consolidation must be noticed in all cases of which consolidation is sought. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.350(a).)
- Any stipulation for consolidation must be signed on behalf of all parties to have appeared in any of the cases to be consolidated.
- Any application to consolidate should be accompanied by a formal order that (i) clearly describes the extent of the consolidation and (ii) designates the master file. The master file will generally be the case with the earliest filing date.
- After consolidation, the same parties are frequently named in multiple complaints or cross-complaints. Therefore, any answer or other responsive pleading should clearly identify the complaint or cross-complaint to which the party is responding, both (i) by the name of the plaintiff or cross-complainant and (ii) by the date the complaint or cross-complaint was filed.
- After consolidation, any request to dismiss a complaint or cross-complaint, or particular parties or claims from a complaint or cross-complaint, should clearly identify the complaint or cross-complaint which is the subject of the request both (i) by the name of the plaintiff or cross-complainant and (ii) by the date the complaint or cross-complaint was filed.

Costs of Suit

- A proposed judgment should not recite the amount of costs that the prevailing party hopes to be awarded. Nor should it state that “costs of suit are awarded in the sum of \$_____.” Instead, the judgment should recite that the prevailing party “is entitled to recover [his][her][its] costs of suit in an amount to be determined in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1034 and California Rules of Court, rule 3.1700.”
- An amendment of the judgment is not permitted simply to add the amount of attorney’s fees or other costs. (RSC Local Rule 1045(b).)

- If a cost memo is filed and no motion is filed to challenge it, a proper means of memorializing the consequence of those events, if desired, is to serve notice of entry of costs. (RSC Local Rule 1045(b).) Generally, the Court will not issue orders deciding what those recoverable costs are when no one has challenged the cost memo.

Discovery – Stay

All formal discovery in complex cases is stayed pending further order of the Court. (RSC Local Rule 3160, subd. B.) Unless otherwise ordered, the stay generally remains in effect until all named defendants have filed responsive pleadings, have been defaulted, or have been dismissed.

Informal discovery is never stayed, and the parties are encouraged to engage in it.

Discovery – Meet-and-Confer Requirements

In most situations, a party seeking to compel further responses to discovery must meet and confer with the opposing party before bringing a motion to compel. (See, e.g., Code Civ. Proc., § 2030.300, subd. (b).)

A letter does not constitute a meeting. Therefore, in the Court’s view, merely sending a letter does not satisfy that statutory requirement, even if the letter asks the recipient to call the sender, and even if the recipient responds to that letter in writing.

In the Court’s view, to satisfy the meet-and-confer requirement, the parties must either meet in person or speak by telephone. (See, e.g., California Attorney Guidelines of Civility and Professionalism, § 10, example b. [“In complying with any meet and confer requirement in the California Code of Civil Procedure, an attorney should speak personally with opposing counsel and engage in a good faith effort to resolve or informally limit an issue.”].)

The failure to comply with the statutory requirement, or to demonstrate that the moving party made a reasonable effort to comply with that requirement, is likely to result in the denial of the motion, the denial of any request for sanctions, or both.

Discovery – Protective Orders

Any proposed protective order dealing with confidential documents should expressly state that nothing in the order excuses compliance with California Rules of Court, rules 2.550 and 2.551.

Motions – To Compel Discovery

Counsel with disputes regarding responses to multiple sets of written discovery will sometimes combine all of those disputes into the same motion e.g., a single motion seeking further responses to a set of form interrogatories, a set of special interrogatories, a set of requests for admissions, and a set of requests to produce documents. To often, the result is a motion of unmanageable size and complexity, burdening both opposing counsel and the court.

Each set of discovery should be the subject of a separate motion to compel. The only exception should be when the responses to one set of discovery is dependent upon answers to another, for example, a set of requests for admissions and a set of form interrogatories seeking the factual basis for any denials of the requests for admissions.

By statute, a party planning to bring a discovery motion must first meet and confer with the opposing side in an attempt to resolve the discovery dispute without the need for a motion. When that requirement applies, counsel for the parties should meet with each other, either by telephone or in person, to discuss the areas of dispute and to attempt to resolve those disputes informally. Merely sending a letter to opposing counsel does not constitute a meeting, and thus does not comply with the letter of the law. In the absence of evidence of such an effort to meet and confer, the Court may deny the motion, refuse to award sanctions, or continue the hearing until such an effort has been made.

Motions – Joinders

Parties wishing to join in a motion or an opposition to a motion filed by another party must file and serve their joinder in compliance with the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 1005 for the filing of a notice of motion and an opposition, respectively. If service of the joinder is untimely, the Court is likely to disregard it.

Orders to Show Cause – in General

This Court expects compliance with RSC Local Rule 3116. If no declaration is filed in response to the OSC, the Court is likely to deem that the party to whom the OSC does not oppose the dismissal, imposition of sanctions, or other action described in the OSC.

If the OSC concerns the imposition of sanctions, then the Court requires counsel to appear in person. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.670(e)(2)(A).)

Orders to Show Cause – Failure to Serve or Failure to Take Default

When the Court issues an order to show cause why monetary or terminating sanctions should not be imposed on a plaintiff or cross-complainant for the failure to serve defendants or cross-defendants, or for the failure to take the default of defendants

or cross-defendants who have been served but have not appeared, the Court expects the party to whom the OSC is directed to examine the clerk's on-line record regarding the status of the defendants or cross-defendants. If you believe that the clerk's records are erroneous, the Court expects you to speak to the clerk in an effort to correct them.

For instance, if the clerk lists the status of a defendant as "not served," but you have filed a proof of service on that defendant, then you should contact the clerk and point out the filing date of the relevant declaration of service. If the clerk lists a defendant's status as "served," but you believe that the defendant has been defaulted, you should call the clerk to point out the filing date of the relevant request for entry of default.

The declaration required by RSC Local Rule 3116 should address those situations in which the clerk's records are correct, but good cause exists for the failure to serve or the failure to request entry of default.

Pleadings – Amended Pleadings

If a pleading needs to be modified, the Court prefers that the party files an amended pleading rather than an amendment to the pleading.

When leave of court is required to file an amended pleading, the Court expects the party seeking leave to meet and confer with opposing counsel to explore the possibility that the parties can arrive at a stipulation by which the parties either consent to leave being granted or, hopefully, render the amendment unnecessary. Any motion for leave to amend should include a declaration establishing that such a stipulation was sought but that the effort was unsuccessful.

Pleadings – Challenges to Pleadings and Motions to Amend Pleadings

The Court should not be asked to evaluate the sufficiency of a pleading until the pleader has stated his or her case or defense as strongly as possible. Therefore, before filing a demurrer, a motion to strike, a motion for judgment on the pleadings, or any other challenge to an opponent's pleading, the parties should meet and confer to determine whether the challenge is arguably meritorious and, if so, whether the parties will stipulate to leave to amend being granted to allow the pleading to be amended in an attempt to cure the asserted defect.

By "meet and confer," the Court means that counsel for the parties should meet with each other, either by telephone or in person, to discuss any arguable defects in the pleadings, and whether those potential defects can be resolved or diminished by amendment. Merely sending a letter to opposing counsel does not constitute a meeting, and thus does not comply with the Court's expectations.

Any challenge to a pleading, and any motion for leave to amend a pleading, should include a declaration describing those meet-and-confer efforts and establishing that such a stipulation was sought without success.

In the absence of evidence of such an effort to meet and confer, the Court may deny the motion, sustain the demurrer, or continue the hearing until such an effort has been made.

Service of Summons – Timing

In complex cases, the plaintiff must serve the summons, complaint, and notice of the initial Case Management Conference on all defendants no later than 30 days prior to the date by the Court for that initial conference. (RSC Local Rule 3160.)

Service of Summons – by Publication

- Service by publication is unlikely to provide actual notice to a defendant. Therefore, in order to obtain leave to serve a defendant by that means, a plaintiff must strictly comply with the statutory prerequisites for service of summons by publication. (*County of Riverside v. Superior Court* (1997) 54 Cal.App.4th 443, 450.)
- The plaintiff must show that it exercised reasonable diligence in attempting to serve the defendant through some other means. (Code Civ. Proc., § 415.50, subd. (a).) To establish that the plaintiff exercised reasonable diligence in attempting to locate and serve the defendant, the declaration must describe a thorough and systematic investigation conducted in good faith, including, e.g., recent inquiries of known relatives, friends, employers, and other persons likely to know defendant's whereabouts; searches of city directories, telephone directories, tax rolls, and internet databases; and inquiries of occupants of any real estate involved in the litigation. (See *Watts v. Crawford* (1995) 10 Cal.4th 743, 749, fn. 5; *Olvera v. Olvera* (1991) 232 Cal.App.3d 32, 42.) Any declaration concerning the nature and extent of the search for the defendant must be on personal knowledge. (*Olvera v. Olvera* (1991) 232 Cal.App.3d 32, 42.)
- If the plaintiff knows the former residence or business address of the defendant, the plaintiff should inquire of the current occupant, owner or landlord, and neighbors as to the defendant's present address, the identity of any of the defendant's friends or family, or the defendant's workplace.
- If the plaintiff is aware of a valid mailing address (even if, like a post office box, the defendant cannot be personally served at that address), it must attempt service by mail before seeking leave to serve by publication. (*Transamerica Title Ins. Co. v. Hendrix* (1995) 34 Cal.App.4th 740, 745.)

- The application must establish that a cause of action exists against the defendant to be served by publication. (Code Civ. Proc., § 415.50, subd. (a)(1).) The declaration supporting the application must state competent, admissible evidence tending to show that a cause of action exists against the party to be served by publication. (*Harris v. Cavasso* (1977) 68 Cal.App.3d 723, 726.) The declaration must be made by a witness with personal knowledge of the facts supporting the existence of the claim. Counsel for the plaintiff rarely has such personal knowledge. Similarly, a verification of the complaint by the attorney on information and belief is insufficient. (*Harris v. Cavasso* (1977) 68 Cal.App.3d 723, 726.) Even a complaint verified by the plaintiff is insufficient. (*Olvera v. Olvera* (1991) 232 Cal.App.3d 32, 42.)
- The summons must be published in the newspaper “that is most likely to give actual notice to the party to be served . . .” (Code Civ. Proc., § 415.50, subd. (b).) The application should explain why the newspaper proposed by the plaintiff satisfies that standard.
- Counsel for plaintiffs frequently propose publication in newspapers that are designed to appeal to a specialized audience – e.g., the Daily Journal or the Business Journal – rather than newspapers of general circulation. The Court is likely to reject such applications absent a showing that a specialized newspaper directed to the legal community or business community is the one most likely to give actual notice to this particular defendant.
- To limit the cost of publication, counsel for plaintiffs frequently propose publication in the smallest newspaper that has been determined to be of “general circulation” in the county in which the defendant is thought to reside. Without more, the fact that a paper is one of general circulation in the county is insufficient to show that it is the paper most likely to give actual notice to the defendant.
- An application for leave to serve a defendant by publication should be presented to the clerk’s office with the fee appropriate for a “declaration and order.” It should never be made in the form of a noticed motion. It should not be made in the form of an ex parte application unless there is some exigent circumstance that requires an immediate ruling.
- If a plaintiff is seeking leave to serve multiple defendants by publication, a separate application should be submitted for each defendant.

Service of Summons - on Corporation by delivery to Secretary of State

- Active corporations: “If an agent for the purpose of service of process has resigned and has not been replaced or if the agent designated cannot with reasonable diligence be found at the address designated for personally delivering the process, or if no agent has been designated, and it is shown by affidavit to the satisfaction

of the court that process against a domestic corporation cannot be served with reasonable diligence upon the designated agent by hand in the manner provided in Section 415.10, subdivision (a) of Section 415.20 or subdivision (a) of Section 415.30 of the Code of Civil Procedure or upon the corporation in the manner provided in subdivision (a), (b) or (c) of Section 416.10 or subdivision (a) of Section 416.20 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the court may make an order that the service be made upon the corporation by delivering by hand to the Secretary of State” (Corp. Code, § 1702, subd. (a).)

- Suspended corporation: “[A] domestic corporation that has been ‘suspended’ by the Secretary of State for failure to file appropriate tax returns, and has no designated agent for service of process, but continues to operate as an ongoing business during the period of suspension, may be validly served pursuant to section 416.10.” (*Gibble v. Car-Lene Research, Inc.* (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 295, 302.)
- Corporation that has suspended its charter: A corporation that has forfeited its charter may be served by service on the trustee of the corporation. (Code Civ. Proc., § 416.20.)
- Dissolved corporation: A dissolved corporation “may be served by delivering a copy thereof to an officer, director or person having charge of its assets or, if no such person can be found, to any agent upon whom process might be served at the time of dissolution. If none of such persons can be found with due diligence and it is so shown by affidavit to the satisfaction of the court, then the court may make an order that summons or other process be served upon the dissolved corporation by personally delivering a copy thereof, together with a copy of the order, to the Secretary of State or an assistant or deputy secretary of state. Service in this manner is deemed complete on the 10th day after delivery of the process to the Secretary of State.” (Corp.Code, § 2011, subd. (b).)
- Foreign corporation: See Corporations Code section 2114.
- Before applying for leave to serve the Secretary of State on the ground that no agent or officer of the corporation can be located, the Court expects the applicant to have attempted to locate and serve every officer named in the latest Statement of Information (Corp. Code, § 1502) filed by that corporation with the Secretary of State. That document is available at the Secretary of State’s office, but apparently not from its website.
- A corporate applicant for a contractor’s license must submit the names of every officer of the corporation to the CSLB. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 7065, subd. (b).) Thereafter, the contractor must advise the CSLB of any changes in that information. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 7083.) Therefore, for a contractor, the CSLB is another potential source of the identity of corporate officers. However, the

Court expects plaintiffs to check both sources before concluding that the plaintiff cannot locate and serve any officers of the corporation.

- If a plaintiff knows the current address of an officer or agent for service of process, but has been unable to serve that officer or agent personally, the Court expects the plaintiff to attempt service by mail to the officer's or agent's address.
- If a plaintiff knows the former business address of a corporate defendant, but has been unable to locate a current address for the defendant or for any officer or agent for service of process, the Court expects the plaintiff to attempt service by mail to the corporation's former business address.
- An application for leave to serve a defendant through the Secretary of State should be presented to the clerk's office with the fee appropriate for a "declaration and order." It should never be made in the form of a noticed motion. It should not be made in the form of an ex parte application unless there is some exigent circumstance that requires an immediate ruling.
- If a plaintiff is seeking leave to serve multiple defendants by serving the Secretary of State, a separate application should be submitted for each defendant.

Settlement – Good Faith Settlements

- A party seeking a determination that a settlement is in good faith is encouraged to do so by notice (Code Civ. Proc., §.877.6, subd. (a)(2)) rather than by noticed motion (*id.*, subd. (a)(1)) unless either (1) the party expects the effort to be opposed or (2) the party will be requesting an order shortening time for the hearing on the motion.
- Certified mail may be either with or without a return receipt. A notice of an application for determination of good faith settlement must be served by "certified mail, return receipt requested." (Code Civ. Proc., § 877.6, subd. (a)(2).) Therefore, the proof of service of any such notice must specify that it was mailed both by certified mail and with return receipt requested.
- If the party seeking a determination that a settlement is in good faith is asking to have any complaint or cross-complaint dismissed as to that party, the application or motion must describe the pleading to be dismissed. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1382.) Because there are often a multitude of complaints and cross-complaints in complex cases, the pleadings to be dismissed should be identified by name and filing date. The proposed order should also identify the specific complaint or cross-complaint to be dismissed. If the proposed order fails to specifically identify the pleading to be dismissed, the request for dismissal is likely to be denied.

- Parties seeking a determination that a settlement is in good faith frequently employ very expansive descriptions of the claims to be barred. The Court is unlikely to sign any order purporting to bar any claims except those described by statute, i.e., claims “for equitable comparative contribution, or partial or comparative indemnity, based on comparative negligence or comparative fault.” (Code Civ. Proc., § 877.6, subd. (c).) The proposed order should use the statutory language.
- The statute authorizes the court to determine the good faith of a settlement on the motion or application of a “party to the action.” (Code Civ. Proc., § 877.6, subd. (a)(1).) If the action has already been dismissed, either in its entirety or as to the moving or applying party, counsel should cite to authority supporting the Court’s jurisdiction to grant the relief at the request of someone who is not currently a party to a pending action.

Settlement – Motions to Vacate Judgment and Dismiss Action

As a condition of a post-judgment settlement, parties will occasionally seek to vacate the judgment and dismiss the action. There are statutory conditions on the power of appellate courts to do so (Code Civ. Proc., § 128, subd. (a)(8)), but none on the trial court. Nevertheless, the Court is likely to apply the same restrictions.

Settlement – Minor’s Compromises

All compromises of a minor’s claim must be approved by the Court. (Prob. Code, § 3500, subd. (b).) Probate Code section 3401 does not create an exception to that requirement for settlements under \$5,000. Section 3401 concerns only the identity of the person who can hold the minor’s money. It does not say that settlements under \$5,000 do not require judicial approval.

Stipulations

The Court will not act on stipulations from a party that has not paid its first-appearance fee.

Trials in General

(revised 7-5-15)

Continuances of Trial

Continuances of trial are not a matter of right, even if both sides agree. To the contrary, trials may be continued only on a showing of good cause. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(c).) A stipulation to continue a trial will be considered only if it stipulates to the existence of facts that establish good cause.

Any request to continue a trial should be by noticed motion. Such requests may be made by ex parte application only (a) if there is insufficient time before the trial to bring a noticed motion, or (b) if there are other exigent circumstances justifying ex parte relief.

Because a request to continue must be brought “as soon as reasonably practical once the necessity for the continuance is discovered” (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(b)), it is extraordinarily unlikely that any continuance will be granted on the day of trial.

Electronic Evidence

Department 5 is equipped to allow the electronic presentation of evidence. Counsel are encouraged to present evidence electronically to the extent possible. Prior to the Trial Readiness Conference, counsel should meet and confer regarding the possibility of jointly presenting electronic evidence.

Forfeiture of Right to Jury Trial

A party forfeits its right to a jury trial by failing to post jury fees within the time limits of Code of Civil Procedure section 631.

If the Court has declared that a party has forfeited its right to a jury trial, that party should not post jury fees until that party has obtained relief from that forfeiture.

If a party wishes to obtain relief from that forfeiture, it should bring a noticed motion heard not later than the TRC, or if no TRC is set, then not later than 21 days before the date first set for trial.

Pretrial Order

At the beginning of any trial, the Court is likely to enter an order substantially similar to the following. References to “counsel” include any party who is self-represented.

A. ALL TRIALS:

1. Other than the parties and any retained expert witnesses, all witnesses are excluded from the courtroom until they are called to testify.
2. Counsel shall direct their respective witnesses not to refer to any subject evidence of which has been excluded by a ruling on a motion in limine, by this order, or by any other court order.
3. While a witness is testifying, counsel shall always have another witness in the courthouse, ready to testify.
4. No recess will be taken or continuance granted for the failure of a witness to appear absent proof of service of either a subpoena or a notice to appear.
5. At the end of each day of trial, counsel shall advise each other of the identity of the witnesses expected to be called the following trial day, and of the order in which they are expected to be called.
6. To the extent possible, exhibits shall be moved into evidence on the first day in which the exhibit was referred to during testimony.
7. At the conclusion of the trial, the clerk may return the exhibits marked or admitted at trial to counsel for the party or parties who offered those exhibits. If so, counsel shall retain those exhibits until one of the following events occurs:
 - a. All parties agree in writing that the exhibits may be destroyed;
 - b. Any appeal from the judgment has been finally decided and, in the event of a reversal, any retrial has concluded; or
 - c. The time for any appeal from the judgment has passed without any notice of appeal being filed.
8. Any party who intends to read from a deposition transcript during trial shall lodge the original transcript with the court on the first day of trial.
9. At the conclusion of the trial, the clerk may return any deposition transcripts lodged with the court to the counsel who lodged the transcript. Counsel shall retain that transcript in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 2025.550, subdivision (b).
10. If a party is represented by multiple attorneys, only a single attorney may perform each of the following tasks: (a) presentation of argument regarding a particular motion in limine, jury instruction, or other issue of law; (b) jury selection; (c) opening statement; (d) direct examination of a particular witness and objections during cross-examination of that witness; (e) cross-examination of a particular witness and objections during direct examination of that witness; or (f) closing argument. However, different attorneys may perform different tasks.

B. ALL JURY TRIALS:

1. In lieu of the Court reading a statement of the case to the venire panel, counsel may choose instead to give “mini” opening statements at the beginning of jury selection. (Code Civ. Proc., § 222.5, 2d para.) Any such statements shall not exceed three minutes, shall not be argumentative, and shall not involve any exhibits or demonstrations.
2. The Court deems all jurors and any alternate jurors to be present at all necessary times, unless their absence is expressly brought to the attention of the Court on the record.
3. No witness shall testify, no documentary evidence shall be introduced, and no counsel shall ask a question or make any comment in the presence of the jury, concerning any of the following subjects:
 - a. Settlement negotiations, mediation efforts, statements made during or in reference to those events, or documents prepared for or during those events.
 - b. The income, wealth, or financial condition of any of the parties.
 - c. Any other past or current litigation involving, or claims by or against, any of the parties.
 - d. The retention of any counsel by an insurance company, unless the insurance company is a party to the action.
4. An exhibit used solely to refresh a witness’s recollection shall not be displayed to the jury.
5. Jury instructions shall be prepared in accordance with section 8 of Riverside Superior Court Local Rule 3401. By “fully edited,” the Court means that the instructions shall be prepared in a form suitable to be copied and handed to the jurors. In particular:
 - a. All blanks shall be filled in. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.1050(c)(3).)
 - b. All references to the gender of the parties and to the number of parties shall be corrected.
 - c. All inapplicable alternative language shall be deleted. If the application of any language cannot be determined until the end of testimony, that language should be left in.
 - d. All brackets (“[]”) surrounding applicable alternative language shall be removed.
 - e. Other than the text of the instruction and the instruction number, no other information shall appear regarding form instructions. Specifically, the following information shall not appear: the title of the instruction; the party requesting the instruction; and whether the instruction is given, modified, or refused.

- f. The instructions shall appear in the following order: Any instructions from CACI Nos. 5000 through 5008 shall be at the beginning; any instructions from CACI No. 5009 et seq. shall be at the end; and all other instructions shall be in the middle. The text of any instructions requested from the CACI 100 series shall be omitted.
 - g. Proposed special instructions shall include the text of the instruction, the party requesting that instruction, and a citation to the authority supporting that instruction.
- 6. In addition to the copies of the jury instructions and the verdict form provided to the clerk pursuant to section 9 of Riverside Superior Court Local Rule 3401, counsel designated by the Court shall email those documents (preferably in Microsoft Word) to the clerk of this department by a date to be determined by the Court. All instructions – whether form or special, and whether agreed upon or disputed -- shall be combined into a single word-processing file. The verdict form shall be in a separate word-processing file.
- 7. If there are multiple alternate jurors and it becomes necessary to discharge a juror and substitute an alternate juror prior to the commencement of deliberations, the alternate shall be chosen at random from the alternates as a whole. (Code Civ. Proc., § 234, 5th para.) If such a substitution becomes necessary after the jury has begun to deliberate, the clerk shall call the alternates in an order chosen at random, but shall order to appear the first alternate with whom the clerk actually speaks.
- 8. The clerk may administer the oath to the bailiff or other officer taking charge of the jury pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 613 outside the presence of the Court, counsel, and the parties.
- 9. Upon receiving any request, question, or notice from the jury after the jury has begun to deliberate, the Court shall notify counsel for all parties by telephone and will relay the Court's intended response. If all counsel consent to that response, it shall be given without any appearance by counsel. If counsel for any party does not respond to the telephone message within 20 minutes after that notice, the Court may respond to the jury without that party's participation. If counsel for any party objects to the Court's intended response, all counsel will be notified and will be given an opportunity to address the Court.

C. ALL JURY TRIALS INVOLVING CLAIMS FOR PERSONAL INJURY OR PROPERTY DAMAGE:

- 1. No witness shall testify, no documentary evidence shall be introduced, and no counsel shall ask a question or make any comment in the presence of the jury, concerning any of the following subjects:

- a. Whether a party is now or was formerly insured against any type of loss or liability.
 - b. Except as permitted by Civil Code section 3333.1, subdivision (a), any insurance benefits received by the plaintiff.
2. If the plaintiff is making any claims for economic damages in the form of past medical expenses that were satisfied by an insurer, evidence of the amount of those damages shall be limited to the amount paid by the insurer and accepted by the health care provider in full satisfaction of that health care provider's invoice.
3. If any claim is made against a health care provider, no witness shall testify, no documentary evidence shall be introduced, and no counsel shall ask a question or make any comment in the presence of the jury, concerning the limitation on noneconomic damages. (Civ. Code, § 3333.2 [MICRA].)

CEQA CASES

(Revised 4-20-15)

Rules of Court

The Court expects counsel to be familiar with and to fully comply with California Rules of Court, rule 3.2200, et seq., and RSC Local Rule 3170.

Status Conference

The Court will set a status conference, which may be as early as 60 days after the action is filed.

At the status conference, the parties should be prepared to discuss the following issues:

- The status of service.
- The status of responsive pleadings.
- The issues to be raised in support and in opposition to the petition.
- The standard of review that applies to each of those issues.
- The party bearing the burden of proof as to each of those issues.
- The status of the preparation of the administrative record.
- A briefing schedule.
- Page limits on the respective briefs.

Challenges to Pleadings

The Court will expect any respondent or real party in interest that is considering a demurrer, motion to strike, motion for judgment on the pleadings, or other challenge to a pleading to meet and confer with the petitioner before filing any such challenge.

By “meet and confer,” the Court means that counsel for the parties should meet with each other, either by telephone or in person, to discuss any potential defects in the pleadings, and whether those potential defects can be resolved by amendment. Merely sending a letter to opposing counsel does not constitute a meeting, and thus does not comply with the Court’s expectations.

Any challenge to the pleadings should be accompanied by a declaration describing those meet-and-confer efforts.

Administrative Record

The parties are directed to California Rules of Court, rules 3.2205 through 3.2208

The parties are encouraged to prepare the administrative record in a paper format rather than an electronic format.

Whether prepared in a paper format or an electronic format, each page of the administrative record shall be consecutively numbered.

If the administrative record is prepared in a paper format:

- The administrative record shall be bound in volumes not exceeding 200 pages each.
- The volumes should be bound with plastic comb bindings, so that the volume will lie flat when opened. Unless the record is less than 200 pages, three-ring binders are not recommended.
- Each volume shall be labeled on the cover and on the spine with the volume number and inclusive page numbers.

The administrative record should not be lodged with the Court until the opposition brief is filed.

The parties shall lodge with the Court an appendix of those documents or pages of documents to which the parties have cited in their respective briefs. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.2205(c).) The parties are encouraged to agree to lodge a joint appendix containing all those documents or pages cited by any party. In that event, the appendix of excerpts shall file lodged when the reply brief is filed. In the event that the parties do not agree to lodge a joint appendix, the petitioner's appendix shall be lodged when the reply brief is filed, and the respondent's appendix shall be lodged when the respondent's opposition is filed.

Briefing and Hearing Date

The agency and the real party in interest should file a single joint responsive brief, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. (Cf. Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.2227(a)(3).)

If multiple petitions have been filed and consolidated, either entirely or for purposes of briefing and trial, the petitioners should file joint opening and reply briefs unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

In addition to their briefs, the respective parties should submit proposed findings.

Generally, the trial on the allegations of the petition will be conducted as a short cause matter on a Friday. However, no hearing date will be set until after the matter is fully briefed. At the time that the briefing schedule is set, the Court will set a status conference at least one week after the reply brief is due. At the status conference, the Court will set a hearing date at least four weeks in the future.

The Court's clerk will attempt to call counsel one or two days before the status conference to arrange a hearing date that is acceptable to all counsel. If all parties agree, the hearing date will be set and the status conference will be vacated.

Any stipulation and proposed order to modify the briefing schedule in a way that extends the date the reply brief is due should also continue the status conference to a date not less than five court days after the reply brief is due.

CLASS ACTIONS

(Revised 7-5-15)

Rules of Court

The Court expects counsel to be familiar with and to fully comply with California Rules of Court, rules 3.760 through 3.771, concerning the management of class action cases.

Case Management

Not later than one year from the date that the action was filed, the Court will generally conduct a status conference. Prior to the conference, the Court expects the parties to have attempted to mediate a resolution of the case. At the conference, counsel should be prepared to discuss whether the Court should set a deadline for the filing of a motion for class certification and, if so, what an appropriate deadline would be.

Discovery

The parties are encouraged to engage in informal discovery to the greatest extent possible, both for certification issues and for trial preparation.

Pursuant to RSC Local Rule 3160, when a plaintiff designates an action as complex, all formal discovery is stayed. The Court will generally issue a case management order lifting that stay, effective as soon as all defendants have answered.

Before propounding any formal discovery concerning class-certification issues, the parties are encouraged to meet and confer to discuss both (a) the scope and sources of the information needed to support or oppose such a motion and (b) whether the parties will agree to exchange that information informally.

If the parties are unable to resolve a discovery dispute, then counsel are encouraged to contact the clerk of this department to schedule an informal conference at which the court will discuss the dispute with counsel in an attempt to resolve the dispute and thereby avoid the expense and delay of a formal discovery motion. The conference may be conducted by telephone or in person, as counsel prefer.

Motions for Preliminary Approval of Settlement

If the matter is settled and a motion for preliminary approval of the settlement is filed:

In General

1. The motion should be supported by a declaration from the plaintiff's attorney that, inter alia:

a. Sets forth the attorney's estimate of the total amount of damages, monetary penalties or other relief that the class would be awarded if the action were successful at trial on all of its claims.

b. Sets forth the attorney's estimate of the total amount of damages, monetary penalties or other relief that the class could reasonably expect to be awarded at trial, taking into account the likelihood of prevailing and other attendant risks.

c. Sets forth the attorney's estimate of the recovery by the average class member if the settlement were approved. If the recovery by different class members will vary, the attorney shall also estimate the range (high and low) of possible recoveries.

2. If the settlement provides that any unclaimed or otherwise unpaid residue of the settlement proceeds are to be distributed to a proposed cy pres recipient:

a. The motion should explain why a cy pres recipient is reasonably necessary.

b. The motion should describe any relationship between the proposed cy pres recipient and (i) any class representative or other party, (ii) any officer, director, or manager of any party, or (iii) any attorney or lawfirm for any party.

c. The motion should be supported by a declaration from a knowledgeable person from the proposed cy pres recipient establishing that the recipient is either (i) a nonprofit organization or foundation that supports projects that will benefit the class or similarly situated persons, or that promotes the law consistent with the objectives and purposes of the underlying cause of action, (ii) a child advocacy program, or (iii) a nonprofit organizations providing civil legal services to the indigent. (See Code Civ. Proc., § 384, subd. (b).) In particular, that declaration should describe the history of the recipient, the types of projects that it has conducted or supported over the last five years, and any particular use to which it would intend to devote the unpaid residue if received.

d. In the Court's view, Code of Civil Procedure section 384, subdivision (b), lists the possible recipients of unpaid residue in a descending order of preference. If the cy pres recipient proposed by the parties is one involved in child advocacy or that provides civil legal services to the indigent, the motion should include a declaration explaining why the parties did not propose an organization that will either "benefit the class or similarly situated persons, or . . . promote the law consistent with the objectives and purposes of the underlying cause of action."

3. The motion should discuss the proposed method of giving notice, the alternative methods considered, and the reasons that the proposed method is the one most likely to give actual notice to the greatest number of class members.

4. If the settlement requires the class members to submit claims, the motion should explain why a claim process is reasonably necessary.

a. If the defendant knows (a) the identity of the class members, (b) their addresses, and (c) the facts necessary to calculate the recovery of each class member, the Court is likely to require a strong showing of necessity for a claims process. Under those circumstances, the requirement that the class members file claims is an unnecessary procedural hurdle that serves primarily to reduce the number of class members being compensated.

b. For example, in wage-and-hour actions brought on behalf of employees of an employer, requiring current employees to file claims is rarely justified because the employer knows the addresses of the employees. By contrast, requiring claims from former employees might be justified if the employer's information regarding their addresses is likely to be inaccurate, e.g., if the workforce is highly transient and the class includes employees from many years ago.

5. Any release to be given by the participating class members should generally be limited to (a) the claims stated in the complaint and those based on the facts alleged in the complaint, and (b) the defendants named in the complaint, together with their officers, directors, employees and agents. If any other parties are sought to be released, the settlement agreement should both identify those other parties and explain the facts that justify their inclusion.

6. If the settlement contemplates the use of an administrator to implement the terms of the settlement, the motion should be supported by a declaration describing the administrator's competence, the fee to be charged by the administrator, and whether that fee is fixed or hourly.

7. The motion should describe how the value of any uncashed checks will be distributed.

8. If the settlement includes compensation for unpaid wages, the motion should describe how the employer's share of any applicable payroll taxes will be handled.

9. The documents that will be read by or used by the class members – the proposed notice, objection form, exclusion form and claim form, if any – should be drafted in a manner that is likely to be readily understood by the members of the class, given their likely age, education, experience, and English-language facility.

10. If the Court rejects any proposed order, notice, objection form, exclusion form or claim form, any revised version should be accompanied by a “red-lined” version showing how the earlier version was modified

The Order

1. The proposed order should include, as attachments to the order, the proposed notice, proposed exclusion form, proposed objection form, and any proposed claim form. The Court is likely to modify those proposed forms. Therefore, the Court is not likely to issue an order that merely incorporates by reference the forms attached to the settlement agreement.

2. Counsel should carefully review both the terms and the terminology of the proposed order and accompanying forms (proposed notice, objection form, exclusion form, and claim form, if any) to confirm that the various documents are consistent with each other and with the settlement agreement.

3. The proposed order should provide that the notice shall be accompanied by an objection form that bears the case caption or that otherwise prominently states the case name and case number.

4. The proposed order should provide that the notice shall be accompanied by an exclusion (“opt-out”) form that the class members may use.

5. The proposed order should state the name of any claims administrator.

6. The proposed order should not include a provision that an objecting party must appear, either personally or through counsel, at the final hearing for that party’s objection to be considered.

7. If the proposed order includes a provision enjoining the class members from filing any actions or administrative claims or proceedings pending the final hearing on the settlement, or for any other period, the motion should include citations to authority for such an injunction.

8. If notice is to be given by mail, and if the class members will be required to submit a claim form, the order should provide:

a. That the notice be accompanied by a stamped envelope addressed to the claims administrator; and

b. That the claims administrator be required to send a reminder notice a reasonable time before the claims deadline to all class members who have not yet submitted a claim.

The Notice

1. To assist the class members in deciding whether to object or opt-out, the notice should include an estimate of the likely recovery by the average class member. If the recovery by different members will vary, the notice should also include an estimate of the range of possible recoveries.

2. To avoid discouraging any dissenting class members from objecting to the proposed settlement, the notice should clearly indicate that the Court has determined only that there is sufficient evidence to suggest that the proposed settlement might be fair,

adequate, and reasonable, and that any final determination of those issues will be made at the final hearing.

3. The notice should advise the class members of where they can find the settlement agreement, by describing the full title and filing date of the declaration or other document to which it is attached.

The Claim Form

1. To avoid infringing upon the class members' privacy more than necessary, the information required to be provided by the class member on any claim form should not exceed the minimum information necessary to process the claim.

The Objection Form

1. The proposed order should include an objection form. If left to the class members, the written objections that they submit might omit the case name or number, or might bear a title that does not clearly identify the document as an objection.

2. The information required to be provided by an objecting class member on the objection form should not exceed the minimum information necessary to (a) identify the objector as a person entitled to object to the settlement and (b) to describe the nature of the objection.

3. If a claims process is used, the objection form should remind the objector that, to participate in the settlement in the event that the objection is overruled, the objector must also submit a claim.

Motions for Final Approval of a Settlement, and Judgment

If the matter is settled and a motion for final approval of the settlement is filed:

1. Any request for a "service," "enhancement," or "incentive" payment to a named class representative should be supported by a declaration from the proposed recipient in which the declarant (a) describes the services performed by the declarant to further the prosecution of the action, (b) estimates the time incurred by the declarant in performing those services, (c) describes any risks undertaken by the declarant in prosecuting the action, (d) describes any adverse consequences actually suffered by the declarant as a result of prosecuting the action, and (e) describes any benefits received by the declarant as a result of prosecuting the action.

2. Any request for compensation for the services of any claims administrator should be supported by a declaration from the claims administrator describing the services performed, the time incurred to perform those services, and either the hourly rate charged for those services or the agreed-upon flat fee.

3. Any request for compensation for attorney's fees should be supported by copies of the time records maintained by the plaintiff's attorneys, or if no such records exist, then by a declaration (a) describing in detail the nature of the legal services

provided and (b) estimating the time incurred in performing those services. The declaration should also describe the hourly rate or rates customarily charged by the attorney for the attorney's time during the period in which those services were performed.

4. Any request for compensation for expenses incurred by the plaintiff's attorney should be supported by a declaration describing the expenses incurred.

5. The order granting the motion for final approval shall set a deadline for the filing of a report concerning the amount of money distributed. (Code Civ. Proc., § 384, subd. (b).)

6. Any report pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 384, subdivision (b), should be in the form of a declaration from the administrator or other declarant with personal knowledge of the facts, and should be accompanied by a proposed amended judgment.

Complete or Partial Dismissal

If the plaintiff seeks to dismiss the entire action, any defendant in the action, or the class allegations in the action:

1. The plaintiff must file a declaration that complies with California Rules of Court, rule 3.770(a), pertaining to any consideration being paid for the dismissal. Because the purpose of the requirement is to avoid collusion between the parties to the detriment of the potential class members, the showing should be made by declaration rather than by stipulation.

2. Because the Court must also decide whether notice of the dismissal should be given to actual or potential class members (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.770(c)), the declaration should also state (a) whether any formal or informal notice of the existence of the action has been given to any of the potential class members by either the plaintiff or plaintiff's counsel, and (b) if so, the nature and extent of that notice.

3. Any request should explain why the putative class members will not be prejudiced by the requested dismissal.

4. If the members of the putative class are readily identifiable – e.g., all owners of homes in a particular tract – the Court may require the plaintiff to get notice to all putative class members to allow them the opportunity to object to the requested dismissal.

CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CASES

(Revised 3-23-15)

Service

In construction-defect cases, counsel for plaintiffs will sometimes refrain from serving the summons and complaint for months, apparently in anticipation that counsel will be retained by other homeowners in the same housing development, prompting the need for an amended complaint. This practice is not acceptable. “The complaint must be served on all named defendants and proofs of service on those defendants must be filed with the court within 60 days after the filing of the complaint.” (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.110(b).) In complex cases in this Court, the deadline is even shorter: The plaintiff must serve the summons, complaint, and notice of the initial Case Management Conference on all defendants no later than 30 days prior to the date by the Court for that initial conference. (RSC Local Rule 3160.)

Stays of Prosecution

The plaintiffs and the developer will often ask the Court to stay the prosecution of the action while the parties pursue either their remedies under Civil Code section 895, et seq., or some other form of alternative dispute resolution. The Court will generally oblige those requests, provided that they are of a limited and reasonable duration. However, those same parties frequently ignore the stay that they had requested, by filing and serving amended complaints and cross-complaints.

In the Court’s view, an unqualified stay of prosecution applies to all plaintiffs and all cross-complainants. Otherwise, the scope of the stay is ambiguous. Does it toll the running of the deadlines in Code of Civil Procedure sections 583.250, 583.310, and 583.420? May a cross-defendant demur to the cross-complaint? Can a cross-defendant obtain discovery from the plaintiffs?

Accordingly, unless the order enacting the stay expressly provides otherwise, any stay of proceedings will be interpreted as enjoining all parties from (a) filing or serving any complaint, cross-complaint, complaint in intervention, or responsive pleading, and (b) litigating any claim or defense asserted in any such pleading by demurrer, motion, or otherwise.

Case Management Orders (CMO)

A proposed case management order that is submitted together with a stipulation by all parties who have appeared will be issued as soon as it is received and reviewed by the Court. In the absence of the written assent of all appearing parties, the Court will issue

an order to show cause why the proposed CMO should not be adopted. Obviously, the first method is quicker, and thus preferred.

Mandatory Settlement Conferences (MSC)

The CMO generally requires the parties and their insurance adjusters to personally appear at the MSC. The CMO may require that any request for relief from that requirement be submitted to the settlement referee. Similarly, any order setting an MSC is likely to require that such a request be submitted to the settlement referee. Any request submitted directly to the Court in those circumstances is likely to be denied.

Regardless of whether the request is directed to the settlement referee or to the Court, it should be served on all parties.

Any such request is an application. Like any application or motion, it should be supported by admissible evidence. Any such request supported only with a letter or other unsworn statement from counsel is likely to be rejected.

Any request to be excused from the obligation to appear in person addressed to the Court should be supported by a declaration on personal knowledge (1) establishing the facts justifying the excuse, (2) describing the extent to which that party, attorney, or adjuster participated in any prior mediation or settlement efforts, and (3) stating whether the party, attorney, or adjuster was personally present at any prior mediations or settlement conferences.

Enforcement of Settlement

If a settlement agreement is breached, it may be enforced in an action for breach of contract. If the parties stipulate to the settlement, that stipulation may be enforced by motion pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 or section 664.7.

Parties to settlement agreements in construction defect cases often ask the Court to employ a third remedy, by ordering the non-performing party to appear and show cause why it has not performed. The Court is not aware of any authority for such an OSC. In the absence of such authority, the Court is unlikely to grant any such requests.

JUDGE PRESIDING IN DEPARTMENT 5

(Revised 1-16-15)

Current Office:

Craig G. Riemer, Judge of the Superior Court, appointed by Governor Gray Davis in 2003, elected to a full term in 2006, and re-elected in 2012.

Current Assignments:

Judicial: Complex civil independent calendar, January 2015 to present.
Administrative: Chair of Temporary Judges Committee, 2006 to present.

Prior Assignments:

Judicial: Civil trials and case management, 2005-2007, 2011-2014; criminal trials, 2003-2005, 2007-2011; drug court calendar, 2004-2005; appellate division, 2005-2006; habeas corpus panel, 2009-2011.

Administrative: Chair of Civil Law Advisory Committee, 2006-2007; Member, Court's Executive Committee, 2006-2007.

Prior Professional Positions:

Senior Appellate Court Attorney, California Court of Appeal, Fourth District, Division Two, Riverside, California, 1990-2003.

Associate, law firm of Dye, Thomas, Luebs & Mort, Riverside, California, 1985-1990, practicing business and real property litigation.

Partner, law firm of Babcock & Cappelli, Riverside, California, 1982-1985, practicing business and real property litigation.

Associate, law firm of Swarner & Fitzgerald, Riverside, California, 1980-1982, practicing general civil litigation.

Admitted to practice law in California, 1980.

Education:

University of California, Los Angeles, School of Law, J.D., 1980.

University of California, Riverside, B.A. Political Science, 1977, Highest Honors, Phi Beta Kappa.

Contact Information:

Mailing address: 4050 Main Street, Department 5, Riverside, CA 92501

STAFF IN DEPARTMENT 5

(Revised 3-23-15)

Clerk:	Rashell Gonzales:	951-777-3047
Secretary:	Vanessa Siojo:	951-777-3067
Reporter:	Melisa Lane:	To order a transcript, go to http://www.riverside.courts.ca.gov/crttranscripts.shtml
Bailiff:	Riverside Sheriff's Deputy Michael Clepper	